

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/528,682                        | 03/20/2000     | Mariagrazia Pizza    | 0342.105               | 5794            |
| 27476 7                           | 590 02/20/2004 |                      | EXAMINER               |                 |
| Chiron Corporation                |                |                      | BORIN, MICHAEL L       |                 |
| Intellectual Pro<br>P.O. Box 8097 |                |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE   |                 |
|                                   | A 94662-8097   | •                    | 1631                   |                 |
| •                                 |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/20/200 | 4               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/528,682   | PIZZA ET AL.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Addon  | Examin r   | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Michael Borin  | 1631   |   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | void abandonment of this applica<br>) a timely filed amendment whicl   | ation. A proper reply<br>n places the applica  | y to a<br>tion in   |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final | on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 November 2003</u> .<br>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR   | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o  |  | forth in  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   |  | NOTE ( )   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further  | •  | see NOTE below);   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | •  | dan a  | . 126 2   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or sin   | nplifying the   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli  | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claims  | <b>S</b> .  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   |  |  | -   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | ` ' ——   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se  | parate, timely filed a   | amendment   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec  |  | dered but does NO  | Γ place the   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY to  | o issues which were  | enewly  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |  |  | nd an   |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-29</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>30-32</u> .   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr   | roved or b) disapproved by the   | ne Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer   | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | *  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 0.  Other:  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Michael Borin, Ph.E<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1631  | ).  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments potentially rise issues of new matter, and require new sequence search (and new Sequence Listing) and corresponding new considerations and new grounds of rejections..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants traverse of rejections address amende claims; however, the amendment is not entered at this juncture..